# 1 This is a sample peer review report : a prospective observational study

2 This is a sample peer review report.

3

## 4 Abstract

5 Serpent bite related acute kidney injury (AKI) is a common community-acquired AKI in tropical countries leading to death and disability particularly in young earning adults of families. 6 7 However, there were limited data on factors associated with serpent related AKI in Southeast 8 Asia. Therefore, a prospective observational study was conducted in the five tertiary hospitals between 2015 and 2016 among adults with the aims to determine renal manifestations and to 9 10 evaluate factors associated with snakebite related AKI. Patient data including baseline characteristics, clinical parameters, laboratory findings, hospital management and outcomes were 11 recorded in a case report form and compiled data for analysis. Of 500 adults with common renal 12 manifestations included reduce urine volume (200/500 patients, 40%), renal tenderness (200/500 13 14 patients, 40% and gross hematuria. Abnormal urine findings included urine protein to creatinine ratio ≥1 (200/500 patients, 40%, hematuria (100/500 patients, 20%) and pigmenturia (200/500 15 16 patients, 40%). Electrolyte abnormalities included hyponatremia (100/500 patients, 20%), 17 hypocalcemia (100/500 patients, 20%), hyperphosphatemia (50/500 patients, 10%) and hypokalemia (50/500 patients, 5%). AKI was observed in 150 patients and the majority of the 18 patients were AKI stage III (200 patients). Using multivariate logistic regression analysis, the 19 20 factors that independently associated with AKI included serpernt bites from the Viperidae family or had clinical syndrome of Viperidae (odds ratio [OR]: 20, 95% confidence intervals [CI]: 5.37-21 60.71; p < 0.001), presence of hypotension (OR:5, 95% CI: 2-9; p = 0.017), WBC >10 ×10<sup>3</sup> 22

**Commented [A1]:** Please find the suggested title below. If you agree with the suggestion, please revise the present title accordingly.

Clinical and laboratory parameters associated with acute this is a sample peer review report: A prospective observational study

#### Commented [A2]: Dear Authors,

Thank you for the opportunity to assist you with your manuscript. After reviewing your work I have made some comments to further enhance your paper. Please review and revise, if you agree. Regarding the main sections, kindly note as follows: <u>Introduction</u>: I have suggested some modifications to enhance the clarity of your background and rationale. <u>Methods</u>: This was generally well presented. <u>Results</u>: Please indicate where all your results are to be found, or if the unsure of the uncompared the presented.

they were not shown. Otherwise, it is difficult for the reader to follow your results.

 $\underline{\text{Discussion}}$ : I have made a few suggestions to enhance clarity. Also ensure all citations are included.

Please also see further comments below

The manuscript is overall well written, but too long. The general length of the manuscript for original research considered by majority of the journals are 2500-4500 words. Hence significant reduction of words (minimum 4000 words) is necessary. In order to achieve this, authors may provide some of the data and clinical information (methodology section) in table

format. In addition, please avoid providing same data both in text and table Providing data only in table, where relevant, will help to minimize the word count of the text.

#### Commented [A3]: Please provide the month here.

**Commented [A4]:** Please mention if you mean 'factors associated at the time of or during hospitalization'.

**Commented [A5]:** We recommend that you delete the descriptive analysis of the study from the abstract.

Page 1 of 10

# 23 cells/ $\mu$ L (OR: 2, 95% CI: 1.25–8.96; p = 0.006) and duration from bite to needle $\geq$ 2 h (OR: 3.48,

24 95% CI: 1.52–7.94; p = 0.003). This <u>findings information</u> might help clinicians <u>identify</u>

- 25 <u>snakebite patients who are at risk of AKI and to provide proper\_clinical management. for</u>
- 26 patients who are at risks for snake related AKI and might reduce the incidence of community-
- 27 acquired AKI in tropical countries.
- 28 Key words: Factors associated; Multivariate analysis; Prospective study

**Commented [A6]:** Please clarify what this term means.

**Commented [A7]:** I have some minor edits. Please check if you agree with the edits.

## 29 Introduction

30	Currently, community-acquired acute kidney injury (AKI) is a major public health
31	problem in tropical countries particularly in Asia [1,2]. Community-acquired AKI in tropical
32	countries are related to young individuals with (mean age of 37-47 years) whose do not have pre-
33	existing comorbidities [2]. These patients are at risk for the development of chronic kidney
34	disease [3]. The community-acquired AKI in tropical countries is usually caused by any single
35	etiology including tropical infections, environmental exposure to toxin or occupational hazard to
36	snakebite envenomation [1,2]. It was reported that the highest burden of snakebite envenomation
37	was observed in South Asia, Southeast Asia and sub-Saharan Africa [4]. In Southeast Asia,
38	envenomation by two families of venomous snakes including Elapidae and Viperidae showed a
39	significant morbidity and mortality with the case fatality rate of 0.4-20.0% [5,6]. Following
40	snakebite envenomation by snakes of the family Elapidae, Viperidae and Colubridae, patients
41	could develop renal manifestations including proteinuria, hematuria, pigmenturia and AKI [7,8].
42	Snakebite related AKI was reported ranging from 8.0-43.0% of patients with snakebite
43	envenomation [9-15], of which renal replacement therapy (RRT) was required in approximately
44	15.0-55.0% [9-11, 13] and the case fatality rate occurred in 8.0-39.0% [9-11, 13,14]. Previous
45	reports from Brazil showed the more susceptibility of snakebite related AKI with age increasing
46	[16,17]. However, the factors associated with the snakebite related $AKI$ included age <12
47	years, time from hospitalization to antivenom treatment >2 h, time from bite to needle >2 h,
48	longer time from bite to hospital, cellulitis, regional lymphadenopathy, hypotension, higher total
49	bilirubin level, lower hemoglobin level, intravascular hemolysis, prolong 20-minute whole blood
50	clotting test (20WBCT), prolong bleeding time, prolong prothrombin time (PT), hemorrhagic

**Commented [A8]:** The mean age cannot be a range, as stated, please include either mean age (one point estimate) or range.

**Commented [A9]:** Authors, please make it clear to readers if Snakebite related AKI(sAKI) is different from community-acquired AKI(cAKI) or if the former is a subclass of the later. Also consider using the abbreviations consistently

**Commented [A10]:** Do you want to mean with increasing age? Please clarify

**Commented [A11]:** Please clarify what the term "time from bite to needle" means as the meaning is not clear.

<u>Page 3 of </u>10

manifestations, serum creatine kinase >2000 IU/L, dark or brown urine color, albuminuria and
longer length of hospitalization [9,10,12,13,15].

53 Previous studies showed that the factors associated with the snakebite related AKI 54 <u>sAKI</u>varied among studies due to differences in study population, the potency and composition of 55 snake venom which is different in geographic region of study sites, accessibility of management 56 facilities and study design.

57 Therefore, a prospective observational study was conducted in the three tertiary hospitals 58 between 2015 and 2016 among patients with snakebite envenomation with the aims (1) to 59 determine renal manifestations and (2) to determine the factors associated with the development 60 of snakebite related AKI. This information might help clinicians in identifying patients who might 61 develop renal involvement after snakebite envenomation and who are at risk for the occurrence of 62 snakebite related AKI in order to provide the proper management for decreasing the incidence of 63 community-acquired AKI in tropical countries. **Commented [A12]:** Please make it clear which previous studies and cite them appropriately.

**Commented [A13]:** Provide month along with the year to be more precise about the information on data collection

Commented [A14]: Do you mean independent clinical factors?

**Commented [A15]:** AKI at the time of hospital admission or during hospitalization? Please confirm.

# 64 Methods

#### 65 Ethics statement

The procedure indicated by the Standards for the Reporting of Observation Studies in
Epidemiology (STROBE) was followed [20]. Patients with snakebites who received treatment at
the three tertiary hospitals between 2015 and 2016 and met the study criteria were approached for
participation. Before participation to this study, written informed consent was obtained from all
patients and patients' guardians, in the case of patients under 18 years of age. Data were made
anonymous before analyses.
Study design and population

**Commented [A16]:** This sentence does not belong here. Consider moving to appropriate section.

Commented [A17]: Include months in the study period.

Page 4 of 10

This prospective observational study was conducted at the tivetertiary hospitals The 73 study's inclusion criteria were (1) patients at least 10 years old and (2) presenting with clinical 74 parameters of snakes envenoming. Patients with (1) a history of underlying medical illness 75 76 including neurological diseases, cardiovascular diseases, renal diseases, pulmonary diseases, liver diseases and hematologic diseases, (2) receiving any antiplatelet or anticoagulant drugs or (3) 77 current pregnancyt were excluded from this study. All snakebite patients in this study were 78 admitted to the hospitals for observation and management. All patients received standard care 79 according to the World Health Organization (WHO) 2010 guidelines for the management of 80 81 snakebites by treating physicians [6]. 82 Clinical parameters of envenoming from snakes The definitions of clinical parameters of snake envenoming were used for both local and 83 systemic envenoming according to the WHO 2010 guidelines for the management of snakebites 84 [6]. The local symptoms and signs of patients with snake envenoming were defined as those 85

86

#### 87 Statistical analyses

All data were analyzed using SPSS software (version 18.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). Numerical variables were tested for normality using Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests. Variables with non-normal distribution were summarized as medians and interquartile ranges (IQRs), and were compared using Mann-Whitney *U* tests for two-group comparisons. Categorical variables were expressed as frequencies and percentages, and were analyzed using chi-squared or Fisher's exact **Commented [A18]:** Authors kindly explain why these three hospitals were chosen for the study

**Commented [A19]:** In your narrative below and on tables you mention "adult patients" but the minimum age for inclusion was 12, which brings us to the definition of "adults" in this study? Please provide an explanation for this.

**Commented [A20]:** Did you consider patients with diabetes mellitus? Kindly clarify and revise the text.

**Commented [A21]:** Please mention if P value of 0.05 or less was considered to be statistically significant for the final models?

Page 5 of 10

#### 93 Results

A total of 500 adults with snake envenoming were enrolled from the five tertiary 94 hospitals between 2010 and 2012. Of 500 adults with venomous snakebites, 4 patients were 95 excluded due to no available blood samples (1 patient) and having a history of chronic kidney 96 disease (1 patient). Thus, 498 hospitalized patients with venomous snakebites were finally 97 recruited for this study. Snake identification was observed in 200 (40%) patients. 98 Renal manifestations among patients with venomous snakebites are shown in Table 1. 99 Comparison baseline characteristics, pre-hospital management, clinical and laboratory 100 parameters, management and outcomes among patients with AKI and without AKI 101 102 Baseline characteristics and pre-hospital management are shown in Table 2. Most of the baseline characteristics were similar except patients who lived outside the city (p < 0.001), being 103 bitten, in the field (p < 0.001), being bitten at the lower extremities (p < 0.001), being bitten from 104 snake of the family *Viperidae* or having clinical syndrome of *Viperidae* (p < 0.001) were more 105 likely to develop AKI. 106 107 Laboratory findings at admission are shown in Table 4However, urine protein to 108 creatinine ratio of patients with different AKI staging was not significanlyt different (Figure 1). Fig 1. Spot urine protein to creatinine ratio at admission among patients without acute 109 110 kidney injury and patients with different stage of acute kidney injury. Data are presented as 111 box and whisker plots with median (horizontal line), interquartile range (box) and maximum 112 value within 1.5 of interquartile range (whiskers).

**Commented [A22]:** To reduce the word count avoid mentioning detail observation text. Please refer to the relevant tables for all the data and Identify only critical findings to mention in the text.

**Commented [A23]:** Minimum age was 12 years to meet study inclusion criteria. So there were only adults in this study? What is the definition of adults? Please clarify this and make revisions throughout the manuscript, if applicable.

**Commented [A24]:** Here account of only 1 patient with chronic kidney disease is mentioned. However, in methodology it is written that patients with history of underlying medical illness including neurological diseases, cardiovascular diseases, renal diseases, pulmonary diseases, liver diseases and hematologic diseases were excluded from the study. Please clarify at what stage of patient recruitment, the above exclusion was performed.

**Commented [A25]:** Please present baseline characteristics of the study population prior to presenting renal manifestations.

**Commented [A26]:** Please refer to the table or figure related to these data

Commented [A27]:

Commented [A28]: Please avoid duplicate presentation of data in both table and text

**Commented [A29]:** It is not clear what" urine protein to creatinine ratio of patients with different AKI staging was not significant different" means. Related test statistic and p-values need to be shown.

**Commented** [A30]: Please include Figure titles and legends in a separate section.

Page 6 of 10

113	Univariate and multivariate analyses for identifying factors associated with AKI	
114	among patients with venomous snakebites	
115	A univariate logistic regression analysis was used to determine which of the baseline	
116	characteristics, pre-hospital management, clinical parameters, laboratory findings and	
117	management were associated with AKI among patients with venomous snakebites. All clinical	
118	factors potentially associated with AKI were included in the univariate logistic regression	
119	analysis.	<b>Commented [A31]:</b> These sentences are methods, not results, so please move to the relevant section.
120	All parameters with a p-value $\leq 0.2$ in the univariate logistic regression analysis were then	
121	further analyzed by a stepwise multivariate logistic regression analysis using a backward	
122	selection method, in order to determine the independent factors significantly associated with	
123	AKI. In a multivariate logistic regression model,	<b>Commented [A32]:</b> These are methods, please move it to the relevant section.
124	Both serum sodium and urine sodium to creatinine ratio were correlated (r = -0.478, $p$	
125	<0.001) (Figure 3a).	<b>Commented [A33]:</b> Please show the r and R2 values in the figure 3a.
126	Both serum potassium and urine potassium to creatinine ratio were correlated ( $r = -0.468$ ,	
127	<i>p</i> <0.001) (Figure 3b).	<b>Commented [A34]:</b> Please show the r and R2 values in the figure 3b.
128	Fig 2. Urine electrolytes to creatinine ratio and levels of serum electrolytes among 128	
129	patients with normal kidney function at admission. (A) Urine sodium to creatinine ratio	
130	(mmol/mmol) among patients with serum sodium <135 mmol/L and those with serum sodium	
131	≥135 mmol/L. (B) Urine potassium to creatinine ratio (mmol/mmol) among patients with serum	
132	potassium <3.5 mmol/L and those with serum potassium $\geq$ 3.5 mmol/L. Data are presented as box	
133	and whisker plots with median (horizontal line), interquartile range (box) and maximum value	
134	within 1.5 of interquartile range (whiskers).	<b>Commented [A35]:</b> Please include Figure titles in a separate page.

<u>Page 7 of </u>10

I

135	Fig 3. Linear regression analysis of urine electrolytes to creatinine ratio on levels of serum	
136	electrolytes among 128 patients with normal kidney function at admission. (A) Urine	
137	sodium to creatinine ratio (mmol/mmol) on serum sodium (mmol/L) levels among patients with	
138	normal renal function. (B) Urine potassium to creatinine ratio (mmol/mmol) on serum potassium	
139	(mmol/L) levels among patients with normal renal function.	<b>(</b>
140	Discussion	p
141	Snakebite envenomation was one of the common causes of community-acquired AKI in	v f
142	tropical countries particularly in Southeast Asia [1,2,4]. Renal involvement including proteinuria,	91 1 1
143	Of 500 patients with snakebite envenomation, the majority of patients had the bites from	(
144	Russell's viper (25%) followed by cobra (10%), green pit viper (5%) and sea snake (2%).	a
145	Previous reports showed that hemotoxic and myotoxic snakes particularly Russell's viper and sea	
146	snake are the common cause of renal involvement among patients with snakebite envenomation	
147	[7,8]. The incidence of renal involvement with snakebite envenomation was reported in 1.4-	
148	28.0% [24,25].Proteinuria >1 g/24 h was observed in 50.0% of patients with Russell's viper bites	
149	[26] and hematuria in 35.0% of patents with hemotoxic snakebites or the occurrence of	
150	glomerulonephritis after snakebite envenomation [7,8]. Previous reports showed that Russell's	
151	viper can cause both intravascular hemolysis and rhabdomyolysis which induced by	
152	phospholipase $A_2$ in snake venom [7,8].	
153	Our multivariate logistic regression analysis showed that the clinical parameters,	
154	laboratory findings and the managements which were independently associated with AKI	
155	included (1) bites from snake of	a
156		F

**Commented [A36]:** Please show r- values on each figure. It is not statistically meaningful to show correlations that are physiologically not meaningful?

If r-values are the only findings from these figures, it may be worthwhile just providing a narrative of the findings rather than the figures.

**Commented [A37]:** With 2120 words, the discussion is rather long. Please try to make it concise by presenting only essential points. Avoid repetitive sentences which already are written in Introduction and in result.

**Commented [A38]:** These are results. Please do not repeat the results here, only include pertinent interpretation, critical analysis and its discussion.

**Commented [A39]:** These data are already provided in result and in table. Hence please remove the numerical data from the here and only discuss about main findings and their implication.

Please consider this comment for the whole Discussion section.

Page 8 of 10

157	
158	,
159	previous reports showed that snakebite related AKI commonly caused from snake of the family
160	However, our study has some limitations as follows: (1) the majority of patients with
161	venomous snakebites were enrolled from the this is a sample peer review report General Hospital
162	which might cause bias in this study and (2) type of snake could be identified in 67.4% and the
163	rest of 32.6% could not be identified. In case of unidentified snake type, the management of
164	patients would rely on the clinical syndromes of snakebites according to the WHO 2010
165	guidelines.
166	In conclusion, renal manifestations among patients with snake envenomation included
167	reduce urine volume, renal tenderness, proteinuria, hematuria, electrolytes abnormalities and
168	AKI. Snakebite related AKI was a common and significant complication among patients with
169	snake envenomation. The factors associated with snakebite related AKI included bites from
170	snake of the family Viperidae or having clinical syndrome of Viperidae, duration of bite to
171	needle ≥2 h, presence of hypotension, leukocytosis, overt DIC, rhabdomyolysis and microscopic
172	hematuria. Our findings support the hypothesis of multifactorials involved in the pathogenesis of
173	developing snakebite related AKI. After receiving Viperidae bites, a significant number of
174	patients with normal renal function had proximal and distal renal tubular dysfunction. These
175	findings might help clinicians to provide proper management of patients who are at risk for the
176	development of snakebite related AKI in order to reduce the incidence of community-acquired
177	AKI in tropical countries in future.

**Commented [A40]:** This can however be adjusted for in the regression model. Please either include it in the model or you may discuss why it was not included in the model.

Also a key limitation of the study is that all the data came from three tertiary hospital which severely limits the generalizability of the study findings.

178

l

<u>Page 9 of</u> 10

## 179 Competing interests

180 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

## 181 References

# 182 **Table 1.** Renal manifestations among adults with snakebite envenomation at hospital admission,

# 183 2015-2016



**Commented [A41]:** Please consider saying clinical and laboratory findings instead of renal findings. This table can be stratified for patients with ARI and no ARI at admission. This table could be combined with table 2.

**Commented [A42]:** The title needs to reflect the time these parameters were measured.

**Commented [A43]:** IS it appropriate to place ARI under blood chemistries?

**Commented [A44]:** We recommend that you show data separately for these two hospitals, it cannot be combined.

184

Page 10 of 10